




IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Re: Application of R. Golicz et al.

Date: December 5, 2005

Serial No. 10/791,956

Examiner: McClelland, K.

Filed: 3/3/2004

Art Group: 1772

For: Forming and Applying Linerless Labels

Atty Docket No. 2223

To: Commissioner for Patents

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is in response to the restriction requirement dated October 6, 2005. Applicants elect Group I with traversal.

As basis for traversal, applicants submit that the reason for the restriction, namely that linerless labels may be applied to stationary objects is not a materially different process, within the scope of invention. The classes of the two restricted Groups are the same, and applicant believes that the scope of search with respect to novel and non-obvious matter will be the same. Lastly, method claim 38 does not fit within the basis for restriction.

Respectfully submitted,
ROMAN GOLICZ ET AL.

By 
Their Attorney

Charles G. Nessler
Box H
Chester, CT 06412
(860) 526 9149 Fax (860) 526 9149 email: cn@cnessler.com

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents, Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313 on December 6, 2005.


C. G. Nessler